## **Fluorescent detection of methylmercury by desulfurization reaction of rhodamine hydrazide derivatives†**

**Young-Keun Yang, Sung-Kyun Ko, Injae Shin\* and Jinsung Tae\***

*Received 31st July 2009, Accepted 10th September 2009 First published as an Advance Article on the web 21st September 2009* **DOI: 10.1039/b915723a**

**Exposure to methylmercury causes severe damage to various tissues and organs in humans. Although a variety of fluorescent chemosensors have been exploited, only few biological monitoring systems for organomercury species have been described to date. In this report, we describe an irreversible rhodamine chemosensor for the detection of methylmercury and real-time monitoring of methylmercury in living cells and organisms.**

Mercury exists in the environment as inorganic mercury species  $(Hg<sup>0</sup>, Hg<sup>2+</sup>)$  and organic mercury (*e.g.* RHg<sup>+</sup>, R<sub>2</sub>Hg, where  $R =$  typically Me, Et).<sup>1</sup> Methylation of inorganic mercury species by aquatic microorganisms can produce methylmercury compounds.**<sup>2</sup>** The biological targets and toxicity profile of mercury species depend on their chemical composition.**<sup>3</sup>** Methylmercury species  $(CH, HgX)$ , which can readily pass through biological membranes,<sup>4</sup> are powerful neurotoxicants<sup>5</sup> to fish, animals, and humans.**<sup>6</sup>** Neurological damages**<sup>7</sup>** associated with methylmercury intoxication are manifold and include prenatal brain damage, cognitive and motion disorders, vision and hearing loss, and Minamata disease.**<sup>8</sup>** Several targets, such as the BBB (blood brain barrier), axonal transport, neurotransmission, synthesis of protein, DNA, and RNA, have been proposed as sites sensitive to methylmercury.**<sup>9</sup>** The ramifications of long-term or short-term and low-level exposure to methylmercury are less clear and warrant thorough toxicological investigations. Therefore, the biological effects of methylmercury species have been considerably studied.**<sup>10</sup>**

Fluorescent sensors based on small molecules,**<sup>11</sup>** polymeric materials,**<sup>12</sup>** nanoparticles,**<sup>13</sup>** and dosimeters**<sup>14</sup>** have served as tracing tools for neurotoxic  $Hg^{2+}$ . Recently, the sensing and imaging of Hg2+ in living biological systems have also been realized.**15,16** Fluorescent detection of methylmercury in the environment and biological systems using chemosensor techniques has not been studied well. Recently, Ahn's group has reported a fluoresceinbased vinyl ether probe for detection of Hg2+ and MeHgX.**<sup>17</sup>** They utilized fluorescence changes associated with methylmercurypromoted hydrolysis of vinyl ether. With this "turn-on"-type green fluorescent probe, they also demonstrated imaging of cells and zebrafish. From the previous experiments on the  $Hg^{2+}$ -selective chemosensor utilizing the  $Hg^{2+}$ -induced desulfurization reaction (Scheme 1),**<sup>16</sup>** we observed that a similar desulfurization reaction could be promoted by  $CH<sub>3</sub>Hg<sup>+</sup>$  too, but less efficiently. Although  $CH<sub>3</sub>Hg<sup>+</sup>$  is less thiophilic than  $Hg<sup>2+</sup>$ , we expected the same

*Department of Chemistry, Yonsei University, Seoul 120-749, Korea. E-mail: jstae@yonsei.ac.kr, injae@yonsei.ac.kr; Fax: +82 2-364-7050; Tel: +82 2-2123-2603*

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental and copies of <sup>1</sup> H and 13C NMR spectra. See DOI: 10.1039/b915723a



**Scheme 1** Hg<sup>2+</sup>-induced desulfurization of rhodamine thiosemicarbazide **1**.

rhodamine hydrazide system could serve as a CH3Hg+ probe too. Herein, we report our results on the fluorescent sensing of methylmercury in aqueous solutions and applications to biological imaging by using the rhodamine thiosemicarbazides.

In addition to the previously reported rhodamine thiosemicarbazide **1**, we also prepared rhodamine thiosemicarbazide derivatives containing  $p$ -NO<sub>2</sub> (4) and  $p$ -OMe (5) groups to see the reactivity differences caused by the electron density on the aniline ring. The probes were synthesized from the known rhodamine 6G hydrazide **3** and aryl isothiocyanates in good yields (Scheme 2).



**Scheme 2** Synthesis of rhodamine thiosemicarbazides.

Upon addition of  $CH_3Hg^+$  to solutions (10  $\mu$ M) of the rhodamine thiosemicarbazides (**1**, **4**, or **5**) in water (DMSO 1%) at 25 *◦*C, the fluorescence intensities (at 560 nm) of the solutions increased gradually as shown in Fig. 1. While the probe **1** showed strong fluorescence intensity changes (Fig. 1A), the rhodamine derivatives with an electron withdrawing group (**4**) or an electron donating group (**5**) exhibited weak fluorescence intensity changes under the same conditions (Fig. 1C, D). As expected, probe **1** required greater amounts of CH<sub>3</sub>Hg<sup>+</sup> (needs 6–8 equiv., Fig. 1B) than  $Hg^{2+}$  (needs 1 equiv.)<sup>16a</sup> for the saturation of the fluorescence intensity.

The detection limit of probe 1 for  $CH_3Hg^*$  was evaluated by monitoring the fluorescence titration curves of  $1(10^{-6} M)$  with  $CH<sub>3</sub>Hg<sup>+</sup>$  at nanomolar (nM) levels (Fig. 2). The fluorescence intensity of 1 was nearly proportional to the amount of  $CH<sub>3</sub>Hg<sup>+</sup>$ added (Fig. 2B) and detection was possible at 200 nM of  $CH<sub>3</sub>Hg<sup>+</sup>$ in water (DMSO 1%) at 25 *◦*C. The fluorescence response of **1** to



**Fig. 1** Fluorescence titration curves of the chemosensors with methylmercury. Fluorescence responses of **1** (A), **4** (C), and **5** (D) (10  $\mu$ M) upon additions of CH<sub>3</sub>Hg<sup>+</sup> (0–8.0 equiv.) in water (DMSO 1%) at 25  $\degree$ C (excitation at 500 nm; emission at 560 nm). Each spectrum was acquired 5 min after each addition of  $CH_3Hg^+$ . (B): Plot of fluorescence intensity (at 560 nm) *versus* equivalents of  $CH<sub>3</sub>Hg<sup>+</sup>$  shown in the titration curve A.



**Fig. 2** (A) Fluorescence emission changes of  $1(10^{-6} M)$  upon additions of CH3Hg+ (by 200 nM) in water (DMSO 1%) at 25 *◦*C. (B) The fluorescence intensity changes at 550 nm (excitation at 500 nm) *versus*the concentration of  $CH_3Hg^+$ .

 $CH_3Hg^+$  in PBS-buffer solutions (DMSO 1%, at pH 7.4) showed similar trends to those observed in aqueous solutions (DMSO  $1\%$ ). Reaction of 1 with CH<sub>3</sub>Hg<sup>+</sup> is slow and requires an excess of  $CH<sub>3</sub>Hg<sup>+</sup>$  for completion of the reaction. Typically,  $\sim$ 10 equiv. of  $CH<sub>3</sub>Hg<sup>+</sup>$  is required to meet the fluorescence enhancement induced by 1 equiv. of  $Hg^{2+}$  in the given reaction time period (see ESI†).

The fluorescent product obtained from the reaction of **1** with CH3HgCl proved to be the 1,3,4-oxadiazole compound **2** which is observed from the reaction 1 with  $HgCl<sub>2</sub>$ .<sup>16</sup> Therefore, a similar desulfurization reaction mechanism could be responsible for the fluorescent detection of methylmercury by **1**. In this case, CH3HgSH could be eliminated as the result of the desulfurization reaction as proposed in Scheme 3.

We then evaluated bio-imaging applications of **1** for detection of organomercury species in biological systems. HeLa cells were incubated with 20  $\mu$ M of 1 for 10 min at 37 °C, washed with PBSbuffer (pH 7.4) to remove the remaining chemosensors, then the treated cells were incubated with  $5-20 \mu M$  of CH<sub>3</sub>HgCl in culture medium for 10 min at 37 *◦*C. While the HeLa cells treated with only **1** did not show any fluorescence (Fig. 3e), the HeLa cells treated with both **1** and CH3HgCl displayed strong fluorescence intensity (Fig. 3f–h). The microscopic and fluorescent images clearly indicate that probe 1 can detect  $5-20 \mu M$  of methylmercury



**Scheme 3** A proposed mechanism for the  $CH_3Hg^+$ -induced desulfurization reaction of **1**.



**Fig. 3** Fluorescence images of methylmercury in live HeLa cells. Microscopic (a) and fluorescence (e) images of HeLa cells treated with **1** (20  $\mu$ M) in the absence of CH<sub>3</sub>Hg<sup>+</sup>. Microscopic (b–d) and fluorescence (f-h) images of HeLa cells treated with both  $CH<sub>3</sub>Hg<sup>+</sup>$  (b, f-5  $\mu$ M, c, g—10  $\mu$ M, d, h—20  $\mu$ M) and **1** (20  $\mu$ M).

in live HeLa cells. We were able to detect 300 nM of CH3HgCl in HeLa cells by this method (see ESI†).

Next, time-dependent uptake of methylmercury in live cells was determined by incubating cells with probe **1** and methylmercury while measuring the fluorescence intensity changes as a function of time. The HeLa cells and A549 cells were incubated with **1** (20  $\mu$ M) for 30 min, washed with PBS to remove the remaining sensors, then treated with  $0-200 \mu M$  of CH<sub>3</sub>HgCl in the culture media. The fluorescence intensity changes were continuously monitored by using a fluorescence microplate reader. Although full saturations of the fluorescence intensity were not observed in the live cell uptake experiments, methylmercury can clearly enter the cells within 30–40 min as shown in Fig. 4.



**Fig. 4** Real-time monitoring of methylmercury uptake in live cells. Real-time monitoring of CH<sub>3</sub>Hg<sup>+</sup> (0 ( $\blacksquare$ ), 10 ( $\spadesuit$ ), 20 ( $\blacktriangle$ ), 40 ( $\blacktriangledown$ ), 100  $(\blacklozenge)$ , and 200  $(\blacktriangleleft)$  µM) uptakes by (A) HeLa cells and (B) A549 cells using  $1$  (20  $\mu$ M). The fluorescence intensity changes in the cells were continuously monitored by a fluorescence microplate reader (excitation at 500 nm, emission at 560 nm).

Encouraged by the live cell experiments, we examined if chemosensor **1** could be used to detect methylmercury in living organisms. Four-day old zebrafish was first incubated with  $20 \mu M$ of 1 for 30 min at 28 °C and then exposed to 20 µM of CH<sub>3</sub>HgCl for 10 min after removal of the remaining chemosensor. While the zebrafish treated with only probe **1** did not show any fluorescence (Fig. 5c, d), the zebrafish treated with both CH3HgCl and **1** displayed strong red fluorescence (Fig. 5e, f). Interestingly, strong fluorescence intensity was observed in the eye lens and liver regions as shown in Fig. 5e, f.**<sup>18</sup>** We were able to image zebrafish**<sup>19</sup>** incubated in 100 nM CH3HgCl media without any problems by this method (see ESI†).



**Fig. 5** Microscopic and fluorescent images of zebrafish. The 4-day old zebrafish was treated with probe  $1(20 \mu M)$  for 30 min, washed with PBS-buffer to remove the remaining chemosensors, and incubated with  $CH<sub>3</sub>HgCl$  for 10 min. Dorsal (a, c, e) and lateral views (b, d, f); (a, b) microscopic images of zebrafish treated with probe 1 and CH<sub>3</sub>HgCl (20  $\mu$ M). (c, d) Fluorescent images of zebrafish treated with probe 1 in the absence of  $CH<sub>3</sub>HgCl.$  (e, f) Fluorescent images of zebrafish treated with probe 1 and CH<sub>3</sub>HgCl.

In summary, we have described an irreversible chemosensor for the detection of methylmercury species in live cells and zebrafish. The rhodamine thiosemicarbazide probe, which reacts irreversibly with methylmercury *via* a desulfurization reaction, can detect methylmercury with high sensitivity in aqueous media. Fluorescent imaging of HeLa cells and zebrafish successfully demonstrated the detection of methylmercury in living cells and organisms.

## **Acknowledgements**

This work is funded by the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation (KOSEF) grant funded by the Korea government (MEST) (No. R01-2008-000-10245-0 and R32-2008-000- 10217-0).

## **Notes and references**

- 1 (*a*) W. F. Fitzgerald, D. R. Engstrom, R. P. Manson and E. A. Nater, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 1998, **32**, 1; (*b*) W. F. Fitzgerald, C. H. Lamgorg and C. R. Hammerschmidt, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 641.
- 2 (*a*) S. Jensen and A. Jernelöv, *Nature*, 1969, 223, 753; (*b*) V. Celo, D. R. S. Lean and S. L. Scott, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2006, **368**, 126.
- 3 T. W. Clarkson and L. Magos, *Crit. Rev. Toxicol.*, 2006, **36**, 609.
- 4 H. H. Harris, I. J. Pickering and G. N. George, *Science*, 2003, **301**, 1203.
- 5 (*a*) J. W. Allen, G. Shanker, K. H. Tan and M. Aschner, *NeuroToxicology*, 2002, **23**, 755; (*b*) M. Aschner, *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.*, 2002, **12**, 101.
- 6 (*a*) I. Onyido, A. R. Norris and E. Buncel, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **104**, 5911; (*b*) M. Bertossi, F. Girolamo, M. Errede, D. Virgintino, G. Elia, L. Ambrosi and L. Roncali, *NeuroToxicology*, 2004, **25**, 849.
- 7 T. M. Burbacher, P. M. Rodier and B. Weiss, *Neurotoxicol. Teratol.*, 1990, **12**, 191.
- 8 (*a*) F. Bakir, S. F. Damluji, L. Amin-Zaki, M. Murtadha, A. Khalidi, N. Y. Al-Rawi, S. Tikriti, H. I. Dhahir, T. W. Clarkson, J. C. Smith and R. A. Doherth, *Science*, 1973, **181**, 230; (*b*) K. Eto, H. Tokunaga, K. Nagashima and T. Takeuchi, *Toxicol. Pathol.*, 2002, **30**, 714.
- 9 (*a*) A. F. Castoldi, T. Coccini, S. Ceccatelli and L. Manzo, *Brain Res. Bull.*, 2001, **55**, 197; (*b*) G. Shanker, L. A. Mutkus, S. J. Walker and M. Aschner, *Mol. Brain Res.*, 2002, **106**, 1.
- 10 (*a*) H. Strasdeit, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 828; (*b*) J. G. Omichinski, *Science*, 2007, **317**, 205; (*c*) J. G. Melnick and G. Parkin, *Science*, 2007, **317**, 225; (*d*) G. W. Hwang, Y. Ishida and A. Naganuma, *FEBS Lett.*, 2006, **580**, 6813; (*e*) P. Gonzalez, Y. Dominique, J. C. Massabuau, A. Boudou and J. P. Bourdineaud, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2005, **39**, 3972; (*f*) S. A. Counter and L. H. Buchanan, *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.*, 2004, **198**, 209; (*g*) P. Grandjean, P. Weihe, R. F. White and F. Debes, *Environ. Res. Sec. A*, 1998, **77**, 165; (*h*) P. W. Davidson, G. J. Myers, C. Cox, C. F. Shamlaye, D. O. Marsh, M. A. Tanner, M. Berlin, J. Sloane-Reeves, E. Cernichiari, O. Choisy, A. Choi and T. W. Clarkson, *Neurotoxicol.*, 1995, **16**, 677.
- 11 (*a*) E. M. Nolan and S. J. Lippard, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **108**, 3443; (*b*) D. Wu, W. Huang, Z. Lin, C. Duan, C. He, S. Wu and D. Wang, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, **47**, 7190; (*c*) R. Shunmugam, G. J. Gabriel, C. E. Smith, K. A. Aamer and G. N. Tew, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2008, **14**, 3904; (*d*) H. N. Lee, H. N. Kim, K. M. K. Swamy, M. S. Park, J. Kim, H. Lee, K. H. Lee, S. Park and J. Yoon, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2008, **49**, 1261; (*e*) D. Wu, A. B. Descalzo, F. Weik, F. Emmerling, Z. Shen, X. Z. You and K. Rurack, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 193; (*f*) E. M. Nolan and S. J. Lippard, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 5910; (*g*) J. H. Soh, K. M. K. Swamy, S. K. Kim, S. Kim, S. H. Lee and J. Yoon, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2007, **48**, 5966.
- 12 (*a*) I. B. Kim and U. H. F. Bunz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 2818; (*b*) Y. Zhao and Z. Zhong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 9988; (*c*) Y. Zhao and Z. Zhong, *Org. Lett.*, 2006, **8**, 4715; (*d*) L. J. Fan, Y. Zhang and W. E. Jr, Jones, *Macromolecules*, 2005, **38**, 2844; (*e*) E. Coronado, J. R. Galán-Mascarós, C. Martí-Gastaldo, E. Palomares, J. R. Durrant, R. Vilar,M. Gratzel andM. K. Nazeeruddin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 12351; (*f*) F. Palomares, R. Vilar and J. R. Durrant, *Chem. Commun.*, 2004, 362.
- 13 (*a*) G. K. Darbha, A. K. Singh, U. S. Rai, E. Yu, H. Yu and P. C. Ray, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 8038; (*b*) M. Hollenstein, C. Hipolito, C. Lam, D. Dietrich and D. M. Perrin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 4346; (*c*) C. W. Liu, Y. T. Hsieh, C. C. Huang, Z. H. Lin and H. T. Chang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 2242; (*d*) Z. Wang, J. H. Lee and Y. Lu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 6005; (*e*) C. W. Liu, C. C. Huang and H. T. Chang, *Langmuir*, 2008, **24**, 8346; (*f*) H. Wang, Y. Wang, J. Jin and R. Yang, *Anal. Chem.*, 2008, **80**, 9021; (*g*) J. S. Lee, M. S. Han and C. A.

Mirkin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 4093; (*h*) J. Liu and Y. Lu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 7587; (*i*) C. C. Huang, Z. Yang, K. H. Lee and H. T. Chang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 6824.

- 14 (*a*) M. Y. Chae and A. W. Czarnik, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1992, **114**, 9704; (*b*) G. Hennrich, H. Sonnenschein and U. Resch-Genger, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 5073; (*c*) K. C. Song, J. S. Kim, S. M. Park, K. C. Chung, S. Ahn and S. K. Chang, *Org. Lett.*, 2006, **8**, 3413; (*d*) M. H. Lee, B. K. Cho, J. Yoon and J. S. Kim, *Org. Lett.*, 2007, **9**, 4515; (*e*) J. S. Wu, I. C. Hwang, K. S. Kim and J. S. Kim, *Org. Lett.*, 2007, **9**, 907; (*f*) M. G. Choi, D. H. Ryu, H. L. Jeon, S. Cha, J. Cho, H. H. Joo, K. S. Hong, C. Lee, S. Ahn and S. K. Chang, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, **10**, 3717; (*g*) F. Song, S. Watanabe, P. E. Floreancig and K. Koide, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 16460.
- 15 (*a*) X. Chen, S. W. Nam, M. J. Jou, Y. Kim, S. J. Kim, S. Park and J. Yoon, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, **10**, 5235; (*b*) D. W. Domaille, E. L. Que and C. J. Chang, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2008, **4**, 168; (*c*) X. Zhang, Y. Xiao and X. Qian, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 8025; (*d*) B. Tang, L. J. Cui,

K. H. Xu, L. L. Tong, G. W. Yang and L. G. An, *ChemBioChem*, 2008, **9**, 1159; (*e*) S. Yoon, E. W. Miller, Q. He, P. H. Do and C. J. Chang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 6658; (*f*) S. Yoon, A. E. Albers, A. P. Wong and C. J. Chang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 16030.

- 16 (*a*) Y. K. Yang, K. J. Yook and J. Tae, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 16760; (*b*) S. K. Ko, Y. K. Yang, J. Tae and I. Shin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 14150; (*c*) Y. K. Yang, S. K. Ko, I. Shin and J. Tae, *Nat. Protoc.*, 2007, **2**, 1740.
- 17 M. Santra, D. Ryu, A. Chatterjee, S. K. Ko, I. Shin and K. H. Ahn, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 2115.
- 18 According to the recent synchrotron x-ray fluorescence imaging studies, organic Hg is preferentially taken up by the lens epithelial cells surrounding the lens core. M. Korbas, S. R. Blechinger, P. H. Krone, I. J. Pickering and G. N. George, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2008, **105**, 12108.
- 19 A. S. Tischler and L. A. Greene, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 1976, **73**, 2424.